

Vortex dynamics in layered superconductors with correlated defects: influence of interlayer coupling

A. Engel*,¹ H. J. Trodahl,¹ J. C. Abele,² D. Smith,² and S. M. Robinson²

¹*School of Chemical and Physical Sciences, Victoria University of Wellington, Wellington, New Zealand*

²*Physics Department, Lewis & Clark College, Portland, Oregon 97219*

We report a detailed study of the vortex dynamics and vortex phase diagrams of two amorphous $\text{Ta}_{0.3}\text{Ge}_{0.7}/\text{Ge}$ multilayered films with intrinsic coplanar defects, but different interlayer coupling. A pinned Bose-glass phase in the more weakly coupled sample exists only below a cross-over field H^* in striking contrast to the strongly coupled film. Above H^* the flux lines are thought to break up into pancake vortices and the cross-over field is significantly increased when the field is aligned along the extended defects. The two films show different vortex creep excitations in the Bose-glass phase.

74.60.Ge, 74.25.Dw, 74.76.Db, 74.25.Fy, 74.80.-g

The magnetic phase diagrams of type-II superconductors show a rich variety of magnetic vortex phases and phase-transitions in the mixed state [1]. The second order melting transition from a highly disordered, but pinned vortex glass to an unpinned vortex liquid is one of considerable interest since the solid glass phase shows zero resistance in the limit of small applied currents. The field and temperature dependencies of the glass melting line are influenced by thermal fluctuations, quenched disorder, electronic anisotropy and dimensionality; furthermore the defects' dimensionality, point-like (0D) or extended (1D, 2D), influences the nature of the transition and glass phase [2–4]. Many of these points have been addressed and compared in strongly-coupled $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{7-\delta}$ (YBCO) and the very weakly-coupled $\text{Bi}_2\text{Sr}_2\text{CaCu}_2\text{O}_{8+\delta}$ (BSCCO), but a complete understanding of the phase diagrams and the role of the different parameters will benefit from studies in materials which permit continuous control of the superconducting and layering parameters.

In this letter we report results on two amorphous multilayered films of superconducting $\text{Ta}_{0.3}\text{Ge}_{0.7}$ alloy and insulating Ge with different interlayer coupling which results in quite different phase diagrams. These films are ideal systems to study the influence of dimensionality and anisotropy on the vortex phase diagram. They belong to the strongly type-II superconductors ($\lambda/\xi \approx 100$), layer thickness can be varied continuously over a wide range and oblique vapor deposition [5] allows the introduction of intrinsic extended defects spanning the whole film thickness [6,7]. Additionally, the geometry of the film microstructure allows the comparison of two otherwise identical magnetic field configurations: one with the field parallel to the defects when the flux lines can take full advantage of their extended nature and another,

symmetrically across the film normal, at large angles to the defects.

Details of the film preparation have been described earlier [8,7]. They were deposited under UHV conditions and with the substrate tilted by 40° from the usual normal incident direction. This results in completely amorphous multilayers with a columnar microstructure, leaning columns of normal density are separated by a network of reduced density. The defect structure is comparable to grain boundaries or twin planes in twinned YBCO. TEM photographs of the cross-section of the films reveal the layered nature as well as the direction of the columns [7]. In Table I the layer thickness and columnar angle as derived from such photographs are given. Sample C40 is the strongly coupled film with an insulator (i) thickness of 3.5 nm, compared to 7.5 nm for sample P40; the superconducting (sc) layer thicknesses in the two films are approximately the same at 13.5 nm and 13.0 nm, respectively. The leaning angle of the columns measured from the film normal is 19.5° and 25.5° and the number of sc/i bi-layers is 12 and 16 for C40 and P40, respectively.

DC, 4-point conductivity measurements were chosen to examine the superconducting behavior of the films. All measurements were made with the sample immersed in liquid helium providing thermal stability even at high applied current densities. Temperatures were measured using a carbon-glass resistor in close proximity and good thermal contact with the sample mounting block. The achieved temperature stability was better than 1 mK below the lambda point and ≈ 3 mK above, at an absolute accuracy of the order of 10 mK. External currents were applied in the film plane and perpendicular to the columnar film structure and applied magnetic fields, as illustrated in the insert of Fig. 1. The magnetic field could be rotated in the plane perpendicular to the current.

The variation of the resistance with magnetic field orientation for fixed H and T is shown for both films in Fig. 1. Clearly visible is a local minimum at about $20^\circ - 30^\circ$ for fields parallel to the columnar orientation (C direction). There is a systematic deviation of columnar angles determined from the resistance minimum and those from the TEM photographs (see Table I); the latter are always a few degrees smaller. The TEM photographs were not available at the time of the conductance measurement so that resistance were used to define columnar direction. Here we focus on that and the anti-columnar (AC) direction at the same angle to but on the opposite side of the film normal, where the extended nature of the defects is

ineffective.

Zero-field R - T measurements show a sharp superconducting transition with no steps or other irregularities pointing to the presence of inhomogeneities. In-field data give a linear $H_{c2}(T)$ for the C and AC directions with extrapolated values at zero temperature given in table I together with other key parameters. Information about the glass-liquid transition was derived from sets of IV -characteristics taken at constant magnetic field and temperatures in the vicinity of the melting temperature. As pointed out by [2,3] and [4] in the case of point and correlated disorder, respectively, such IV -curves should show universal scaling behavior. The relation between current density J and electric field E can be summarized as follows:

$$(E/J) |t|^{\nu(D-2-z)} \propto F_{\pm} \left(J |t|^{-\nu(D-1)} \right), \quad (1)$$

with ν the static and z the dynamic critical exponent, $t = 1 - (T/T_m)$ the reduced temperature and T_m the melting temperature. The parameter D is 3 or 4, respectively, within the 3D-vortex (point disorder) or Bose-glass (correlated disorder) theories. Above T_m and for small currents $F_+(x \rightarrow 0) = \text{const.}$, indicating ohmic resistance. Below T_m in the glass phase the resistance vanishes exponentially with $F_-(x \rightarrow 0) = \exp(x^{-\mu})$.

Strongly coupled sample: sample C40 shows the typical change for a glass transition from ohmic curves with a positive curvature at high currents and temperature to downwards curved IV -characteristics below the melting temperature. Scaling analysis has been applied successfully using Bose-glass scaling laws for IV -sets taken with the field applied in the C and 3D-vortex glass laws for the AC direction. The resulting parameters are given in Table I. The phase diagram Fig. 2 shows a significantly increased glassy phase region for fields along C due to increased pinning by extended defects.

Weakly coupled sample: here, the situation is completely different. Of all the IV -sets taken with the magnetic field in the C direction, the characteristic glass-melting behavior was observed and scaling was successful only at the lowest field (0.1 T). At higher fields, the IV -curves show no sign of negative curvature, in fact they have positive curvature even at the lowest temperatures, as illustrated in Fig. 3. Vortex glass theory for two-dimensional superconductors lacks the transition into a low-temperature zero-resistance state [3]. Thus, our interpretation is that there is a phase transition from a vortex liquid into a Bose-glass at low magnetic fields only, and that there is another transition into a 2D or quasi-2D liquid at higher fields which then does not show any glassy behavior. This view is further supported by the IV -curves taken at the second lowest field (0.3 T, Fig. 3). At high temperatures we see the usual ohmic curves with an upturn at higher currents, but at lower temperatures all the curves show approximately power-law behavior.

Since the power-law curve determines the melting temperature, this field $H^* = 0.3$ T may correspond to the cross-over field from 3D to quasi-2D behavior (horizontal line in Fig. 4). Thus we have observed field-driven 3D to 2D transitions which have previously been reported only in cuprates [10].

This behavior contrasts with that in AC aligned fields, for which the defects are uncorrelated. In this geometry the stability of a 3D phase is reduced further, so that no evidence of glassy behavior is seen even at the lowest measured field. However, at this lowest field the vortex system is very close to the proposed dimensional transition, as evidenced by the observation that all IV -curves below 1.95 K show nearly ideal power-law dependence. The implied phase boundaries are then given as the dotted lines in Fig. 4. Thus for C oriented fields the extended defects preserve the integrity of the flux lines and promote the 3D phase, confirming a suggestion based on recent measurements on the cuprate superconductor BSCCO. [11,12]

Recently, Ammor et al. [13] published detailed data on BSCOO with columnar defects and it is instructive to compare the resulting phase diagrams to ours. In that study the Bose-glass phase was found to be limited to fields smaller than the matching field B_{Φ} above which the vortices outnumber the columnar defects. Thus the Bose-glass phase disappears at fields large enough that some vortices remain unpinning. Though it is not straight forward to define a matching field in the case of coplanar defects, we see a maximum increase in activation energy in the thermally activated flux flow region between 0.5 and 1.0 T. These fields are a factor 2 to 3 higher than the observed cross-over field in the IV -characteristics. Hence, the cause for the difference in IV -curves above and below $H^* = 0.3$ T cannot be attributed to the occurrence of unpinning flux lines.

Analysis of the Bose-glass phase reveals further differences between the strongly and weakly coupled sample. There is an obvious difference in the glass parameters z and especially ν resulting from different mechanisms for flux creep in the glass phase of the two samples. For low current densities flux creep should be dominated by variable-range-hopping processes which give rise to the following relation between electric field E and current density J [4]:

$$E/J \propto \exp [-\alpha (J_0/J)^{\mu}], \quad (2)$$

with J_0 a characteristic current scale, α a temperature dependent factor including a characteristic energy for vortex kinks and μ the glass exponent; typical values for dominant excitations are $\mu = 1$ for vortex-loops and $\mu = 1/3$ for double-superkinks, respectively. Replotting the IV -curves in the Bose-glass phase by $d[\ln(V/I)]/dI$ versus I should result in a straight line with gradient $-(\mu + 1)$. In Fig. 5 examples for both films are given.

Whereas the low current part for the weakly-coupled sample P40 can be fitted well with $\mu = 1/3$, data for C40 can only be fitted with $\mu = 1$. Clearly the energy scale for vortex kinks is much higher in the strongly coupled film C40 and the excitation of vortex loops is needed for flux creep. In contrast the excitation of kinks is easier in the weakly coupled sample, where segments of the flux line, i.e. individual pancake vortices, can jump to the next favorable pinning site through the generation of a pair of superkinks, giving rise to the observed exponent $\mu = 1/3$.

In summary, we have observed striking contrasts in the vortex phase diagrams of two extremely type-II superconducting multilayered films of $\text{Ta}_{0.3}\text{Ge}_{0.7}/\text{Ge}$ with very similar coplanar defects but different interlayer coupling. The more strongly coupled film shows a conventional phase diagram, with an extended region of vortex solid phases, but in the weakly coupled sample a strongly pinned Bose-glass phase exists only for weak magnetic fields aligned with the defect structure. Above a relatively small cross-over field H^* the glass phase melts into a quasi-2D liquid of pancake vortices. Correlated defects increase the solid-phase region, but H^* is severely reduced, possibly to zero, in magnetic field orientations for which the extended nature of the defects is ineffective. The Bose-glass phases in the two films also differ in the dominant mode by which their low current flux creep proceeds. Whereas flux creep in the weakly coupled film can be described well by the generation of superkinks, the creep process for the more rigid flux lines in the strongly coupled sample is dominated by the excitation of vortex loops.

The authors wish to thank Prof. P. Munroe from the Electron Microscope Unit at the University of New South Wales, Australia, for the TEM photographs and acknowledge support by the New Zealand Marsden Fund and the Research Corporation Cottrell College Science Award (CC4444).

- C. Lodder, *Mat. Sci. and Engineering* **R11**, 295 (1994).
 [6] J. C. Abele *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. B* **60**, 12448 (1999).
 [7] A. Engel, H. J. Trodahl, J. C. Abele, and S. M. Robinson, *Phys. Rev. B* **63**, 184502 (2001).
 [8] B. Ruck, Ph.D. thesis, Victoria University of Wellington, Wellington, New Zealand, 1998.
 [9] S. Ullah and A. T. Dorsey, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **65**, 2066, (1990); *Phys. Rev. B* **44**, 262, (1991).
 [10] A. Schilling, R. Jin, J. D. Guo, and H. R. Ott, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **71**, 1899 (1993); H. Obara *et al.*, *ibid.* **74**, 3041 (1995); F. Zuo, S. Khizroev, G. C. Alexandrakis, and V. N. Kopylov, *Phys. Rev. B* **52**, R755 (1995).
 [11] N. Morozov *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **82**, 1008 (1999); N. Morozov, M. P. Maley, L. N. Bulaevskii, and J. Sarrao, *Phys. Rev. B* **57**, R8146 (1998); M. Kosugi *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **79**, 3763 (1997).
 [12] M. Sato *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **79**, 3759 (1997).
 [13] L. Ammor *et al.*, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **12**, 4217 (2000).

* corresponding author. School of Chem. and Phys. Sciences, Victoria University of Wellington, P.O. Box 600, Wellington, New Zealand. Email address: andreas.engel@vuw.ac.nz

- [1] G. Blatter *et al.*, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **66**, 1125 (1994).
 [2] M. P. A. Fisher, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **62**, 1415 (1989).
 [3] D. S. Fisher, M. P. A. Fisher, and D. A. Huse, *Phys. Rev. B* **43**, 130 (1991).
 [4] D. R. Nelson and V. M. Vinokur, *Phys. Rev. B* **48**, 13060 (1993).
 [5] D. O. Smith, M. S. Cohen, and G. P. Weiss, *J. Appl. Phys.* **31**, 1755 (1960); A. G. Dirks and H. J. Leamy, *Thin Solid Films* **47**, 219 (1977); H. van Kranenburg and

TABLE I. Various parameters for both films. $T_c(0)$ determined from zero-field resistance data and $H_{c2}(0)$ from scaling in-field data according to fluctuation conductivity theory. The penetration depth and coherence length are determined respectively, by $\lambda(0) \approx 1.05 \times 10^{-3} (\rho_0/T_c(0))^{1/2}$ and $\xi(T) = (\Phi_0/[2\pi H_{c2}(T)])^{1/2}$, ρ_0 normal state resistivity at $T = 0$ and Φ_0 the flux quantum. Individual layer thicknesses $d(\text{sc})$ and $d(\text{i})$ and columnar orientation $\beta(\text{TEM})$ were determined from TEM images. $\beta(\text{TEM})$ is compared to $\beta(\text{rot})$ derived from resistance measurements (see Fig. 1). The last two columns give the glass parameters for C and AC directions using Bose-glass and 3D-vortex glass scaling, respectively. All lengths given in nm.

	$T_c(0)$ [K]	$H_{c2}(0)$ [T]	λ	ξ	$d(\text{sc/i})$	$\beta(\text{TEM/rot})$	z (C/AC)	ν (C/AC)
C40	2.395	7.4	1300	7	13.5/3.5	19.5°/23.3°	8.91/5.42	0.69/1.24
P40	2.272	7.3	1500	7	13.0/7.5	25.5°/27.4°	7.44/—	1.27/—

FIG. 1. Resistance versus magnetic field orientation for C40 (taken at 2.00 K and 0.4 T) and P40 (1.90 K/0.3 T). The films' anisotropy is clearly visible with the second minimum for fields directed parallel to the defect structure. The measurement geometry is shown schematically in the upper right corner. The angle is measured from the film normal with positive values towards the C direction.

FIG. 2. Reduced H - T phase diagram for C40 determined from scaling analysis. The second order phase transition from a pinned glass phase to the vortex liquid phase shifted to higher temperatures and fields for fields in the C (squares) compared to the AC direction (triangles).

FIG. 3. Logarithmic gradient $d(\ln V)/d(\ln I)$ versus I of IV -curves taken for P40 at different applied magnetic fields in the C direction and varying temperatures ($\Delta T = 50$ mK). At 0.1 T the sample shows the typical glass-to-liquid transition from upward to downward curvature. At 0.6 T even the curves at the lowest temperatures (uppermost lines) show increasing gradients. At the intermediate field of 0.3 T most curves exhibit power-law behaviour which is identified with a decoupling transition from vortex lines to pancake vortices.

FIG. 4. Reduced H - T phase diagram for P40. The Bose-glass exists only below the solid horizontal line (C) and melts into a 3D-liquid (which may be a mixture of 3D- and 2D-liquids). The dashed line labeled AC indicates the glass phase boundaries for magnetic fields oriented in the AC direction. H_{max} is the highest applied field.

FIG. 5. Log-log plot of $d[\ln(V/I)]/d(I)$ versus I for $T < T_m$ and field in the C direction. Open symbols are results for P40 taken at 0.1 T and 1.60 K (triangles) and 1.90 K (circles), respectively. The solid lines are fits according to Eq. 2 with $\mu = 1/3$. Filled symbols are results for C40 taken at 0.3 T and 1.55 K (triangles) and 1.80 K (circles) (for easier separation from P40 data are plotted versus $10 \times I$). For C40 satisfactory fitting could only be achieved with $\mu = 1$ (solid lines; compare to the dotted lines with $\mu = 1/3$).

This figure "Figure1.png" is available in "png" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/cond-mat/0104530v1>

This figure "Figure2.png" is available in "png" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/cond-mat/0104530v1>

This figure "Figure3.png" is available in "png" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/cond-mat/0104530v1>

This figure "Figure4.png" is available in "png" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/cond-mat/0104530v1>

This figure "Figure5.png" is available in "png" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/cond-mat/0104530v1>